Timothy S. DeJong, OSB No. 940662 tdejong@stollberne.com Scott Shorr, OSB No. 961873 sshorr@stollberne.com Nadine A. Gartner, OSB No. 103864 ngartner@stollberne.com

STOLL STOLL BERNE LOKTING & SHLACHTER P.C.

209 SW Oak Street, Fifth Floor

Portland, OR 97204 Telephone: 503.227.1600 Facsimile: 503.227.6840

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

Tanya Durkee Urbach, OSB No. 962668 urbacht@lanepowell.com Peter D. Hawkes, OSB No. 071986 hawkesp@lanepowell.com LANE POWELL PC 601 SW Second Avenue, Suite 2100 Portland, OR 97204-3158 Telephone: 503.778.2100 Facsimile: 503.778.2200

Attorneys for Defendants

[Additional counsel appear on signature page]

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF OREGON PORTLAND DIVISION

LARRY ARNETT and RONDA ARNETT, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

CV No. 3:11-1372 SI

Plaintiffs,

STIPULATION RESOLVING PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO COMPEL

BANK OF AMERICA, N.A. and BAC HOME LOANS SERVICING, L.P.,

Defendants.

PAGE 1 - STIPULATION RESOLVING PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO COMPEL

v.

Case 3:11-cv-01372-SI Document 73 Filed 05/21/12 Page 2 of 6 Page ID#: 826

This Stipulation is entered into by the parties to resolve Plaintiffs' pending Motion to

Compel concerning their document requests and interrogatories served on January 4, 2012.

Plaintiffs and Defendants have met and conferred and hereby stipulate and agree as follows:

STIPULATED, AGREED, AND ORDERED:

1. With respect to "Class Member Discovery" (RFP Nos. 6, 8, 9, 15, 18, 19, 23, 24,

31, 32, 33 and 38; Interrogatories Nos. 1, 6, 13, 15), the parties agree to the following as a

compromise of their respective positions at this pre class-certification stage of the proceedings:

a. On or before May 23, 2012, Defendants shall provide Plaintiffs with the

numbers of: (1) loans regarding which the borrowers were sent at least one letter from Bank of

America advising that Bank of America required additional proof of flood insurance coverage or

additional flood insurance coverage; (2) loans regarding which Bank of America purchased

lender-placed insurance and did not subsequently credit or refund the full premium to the

borrower; and (3) an approximation of the subsets of (1) and (2) for which Fannie Mae or

Freddie Mac owns the loan. Plaintiffs reserve the right to request additional data concerning the

numerosity of their proposed classes;

b. Defendants shall produce the data from the customer "complaint" database

referenced in Defendants' Memorandum in Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel without

redaction of borrower information by May 25, 2012. Defendants have determined that certain

entries in the database address loans that were not serviced by Bank of America but are part of

the database only because Balboa was involved in lender-placed insurance for other lenders.

Accordingly, any entries for loans not serviced by Bank of America or its affiliates will be

redacted from the production.

c. Plaintiffs shall reserve their right to request discovery of additional class

member information if and when the Court certifies a class. Plaintiffs further agree to accept the

sampling of information and the other pre-class certification limitations described herein solely

as a good-faith accommodation to Defendants' claim of burden. Defendants agree that they will

PAGE 2 - STIPULATION RESOLVING PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO COMPEL

Case 3:11-cv-01372-SI Document 73 Filed 05/21/12 Page 3 of 6 Page ID#: 827

not oppose certification of the class specifically based upon any discovery compromises but

otherwise reserve their rights to oppose certification of the putative classes;

2. With respect to Class Members with different forms of Deed of Trust, the parties

have met and conferred and Defendants have explained that they have not limited their responses

to Plaintiffs' discovery requests on this basis except with respect to Interrogatory No. 1 and

Request for Production No. 33. The parties have agreed that Plaintiffs shall reserve their right to

request additional discovery concerning borrowers who contracted with their lender on forms

other than the Fannie Mae/Freddie Mac Uniform Security Instrument in response to

Interrogatory No. 1 and Request for Production No. 33 if the Court certifies any class that would

encompass such borrowers;

3. Defendants shall endeavor to produce data in response to Interrogatory 1(j)-(n) to

the extent such data is available and maintained in a readily accessible format. At this time, as

stated in Defendants' Amended Responses and Objections to Plaintiffs' First Set of

Interrogatories to Defendants ("Amended Interrogatory Responses"), Defendants expect that data

responsive to subparts (j) and (l) (concerning premiums paid and refunded to Defendants) will be

so provided, and that data responsive to subpart (k) (concerning premiums paid and refunded by

borrowers to private insurers) may be available for some, but not all, borrowers in question.

Defendants do not expect that data responsive to subparts (m) and (n) can be produced on a per-

loan basis without undue burden because this information is not stored on a per-loan basis in

Bank of America's loan servicing databases. If Defendants discover a feasible method to

provide the data in subparts (m) and (n) as Plaintiffs have requested, they will endeavor to do so;

4. Defendants shall produce documents responsive to Interrogatory No. 6 as stated in

the Amended Interrogatory Responses, which includes policy and procedure documents as well

as documents located through the review of custodians' electronic documents;

5. Defendants shall produce documents responsive to Interrogatories Nos. 1(0), 8

and 10; and Request for Production Nos. 22 and 37 as stated in their Amended Interrogatory

PAGE 3 - STIPULATION RESOLVING PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO COMPEL

Case 3:11-cv-01372-SI Document 73 Filed 05/21/12 Page 4 of 6 Page ID#: 828

Responses and Amended Responses and Objections to Plaintiffs' First Set of Requests for

Production to Defendants ("Amended RFP Responses"). Defendants shall also produce financial

documents tracking payments or commissions, if any, received in connection with lender-placed

flood insurance, subject to and without waiving the objections asserted in the Amended

Interrogatory Responses and Amended RFP Responses with respect to Interrogatories Nos. 1(0),

8 and 10; and Request for Production Nos. 22 and 37;

6. Plaintiffs' position is that the Request for Production No. 37 calls for the meeting

minutes and materials of Bank of America's Board of Directors. The Defendants state that their

reasonable investigation into Request No. 37 to date has not revealed that any meeting and

materials of Bank of America's Board of Directors were created addressing the issues in this

litigation, and thus at this time Defendants are not producing Board of Director meeting minutes

or materials in response to Request No. 37. If, during their review of documents produced in this

litigation, either Defendants or Plaintiffs locate information indicating that meeting minutes or

materials of Bank of America's Board of Directors were created that are relevant to the issues in

this litigation, Defendants agree to produce such non-privileged materials;

7. Defendants shall produce documents responsive to Request for Production No. 27

which seeks "[a]ll documents concerning internal or external audits, assessments, studies,

investigations, or examinations that relate to flood insurance or flood insurance requirements," as

stated in their Amended RFP Responses;

8. Plaintiffs' concerns regarding "equal access" objections have been resolved by

Defendants' Amended Interrogatory Responses and Amended RFP Responses—which do not

assert any "equal access" objections;

9. Defendants have produced and will continue to produce custodial documents

collected from the 28 persons Defendants identified as most likely to have information pertaining

to this litigation. Plaintiffs may request discovery from additional custodians;

PAGE 4 - STIPULATION RESOLVING PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO COMPEL

10. Defendants have produced a list of search terms they have utilized for purposes of

electronic discovery, and Plaintiffs may request that additional search terms be utilized;

11. Defendants shall make best efforts to produce their answer to Interrogatory No. 1

and Request for Production No. 33 by May 31, 2012;

12. Defendants shall produce their initial privilege log on or before May 25, 2012,

and will supplement it thereafter as necessary;

13. Defendants hereby state that they have already produced documents in support of

the affirmative defenses they have asserted, that they are continuing to produce such documents,

and that they are not withholding documents from production that they have located and intend

to use to support their affirmative defenses at this time; and

14. Defendants shall make best efforts to complete their production of documents

relating to Plaintiffs' motion for class certification by June 20, 2012. Defendants anticipate

substantially completing their production of files for 24 of their 28 custodians by June 8, 2012.

15. Despite several hours of good-faith negotiation, the parties have been unable to

reach a compromise as to certain issues regarding the number (if any), scope, and usage of loan

files and servicing records to be produced by the Defendants to the Plaintiffs in this action. The

parties are continuing to meet and confer on these issues, but if they are unable to reach

agreement, they will submit letter briefs on these issues, of no more than four pages, on or before

May 23, 2012 to respectfully request the Court's guidance.

IT IS SO ORDERED this 2/ day of ______

Honorable Michael H. Simon

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

PAGE 5 - STIPULATION RESOLVING PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO COMPEL

STIPULATED AND CONSENTED TO BY:

DATED this 18th day of May, 2012.

DATED this 18th day of May, 2012.

STOLL STOLL BERNE LOKTING & SHLACHTER P.C.

LANE POWELL PC

By: /s/Timothy S. DeJong

sshorr@stollberne.com

ngartner@stollberne.com

Timothy S. DeJong, OSB No. 940662 Scott A. Shorr, OSB No. 961873 Nadine A. Gartner, OSB No. 103864 209 SW Oak Street, 5th Floor Portland, OR 97204 Telephone: (503) 227-1600 Facsimile: (503) 227-6840 tdejong@stollberne.com By: /s/Tanya Durkee Urbach

Tanya Durkee Urbach, OSB No. 962668 Peter D. Hawkes, OSB No. 071986 601 SW Second Avenue, Suite 2100 Portland, OR 97204-3158

Telephone: (503) 778.2100 Facsimile: (503)778.2200 urbacht@lanepowell.com hawkesp@lanepowell.com

-AND-

Eric L. Cramer (admitted pro hac vice)
Shanon J. Carson (admitted pro hac vice)
Patrick F. Madden (admitted pro hac vice)
BERGER & MONTAGUE, P.C.
1622 Locust Street
Philadelphia, PA 19103
Telephone: (215) 875-4656
ecramer@bm.net
scarson@bm.net
pmadden@bm.net

-AND-

John C. Englander (admitted pro hac vice)

David Permut (admitted pro hac vice)

Matthew G. Lindenbaum (admitted pro hac vice)

Dennis N. D'Angelo (admitted pro hac vice)

Dominic D. Gouker (admitted pro hac vice)

GOODWIN PROCTER LLP

53 State Street, Exchange Place

Boston, MA 02109

Telephone: (617) 570-1771

jenglander@goodwinprocter.com

dpermut@goodwinprocter.com

mlindenbaum@goodwinprocter.com

ddangelo@goodwinprocter.com

dgouker@goodwinprocter.com

-AND-

Brett Cebulash (admitted pro hac vice) Kevin S. Landau (admitted pro hac vice) TAUS, CEBULASH & LANDAU, LLP 80 Maiden Lane, Suite 1204 New York, NY 10038 Telephone: (212) 931-0704 bcebulash@tcllaw.com klandau@tcllaw.com

Attorneys for Defendants

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

PAGE 6 - STIPULATION RESOLVING PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO COMPEL